

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS


IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G02B 6/34, 27/46		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/35523
			(43) International Publication Date: 15 July 1999 (15.07.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/00425		(81) Designated States: CA, JP, KR, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 7 January 1999 (07.01.99)		Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: 60/070,684 7 January 1998 (07.01.98) US			
(71) Applicant: TEMPLEX TECHNOLOGY INC. [US/US]; 400 East Second Avenue, Eugene, OR 97401 (US).			
(72) Inventors: BABBITT, William, R.: 6391 Buffaloberry Lane, Bozeman, MT 59718 (US). MOSSBERG, Thomas, W.: 584 Lynbrook Drive, Eugene, OR 97404 (US).			
(74) Agent: JONES, Michael, D.; Klarquist, Sparkman, Campbell, Leigh & Whinston, LLP, One World Trade Center, Suite 1600, 121 S.W. Salmon Street, Portland, OR 97204 (US).			

(54) Title: COMPOSITE DIFFRACTION GRATINGS FOR SIGNAL PROCESSING AND OPTICAL CONTROL APPLICATIONS



(57) Abstract

The present invention provides a composite grating structure that performs a programmed complex-valued, spectral filtering function on an input optical signal. The grating consists of a plurality of subgratings. Each subgrating controls the diffraction of a specific optical subbandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output direction imparting a controllable amplitude and phase change onto the specific subbandwidth of light whose diffraction it controls within the overall operative bandwidth. The set of subgratings comprising the composite grating collectively controls the diffraction of an operative bandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output direction. Each composite grating is programmed through their construction or through their dynamic modification to provide desired spectral filtering functions. While the composite gratings can be employed for general spectral filtering applications, they hold especially attractive potential in the area of optical waveform processing, generation, and detection.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NI	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

1 **COMPOSITE DIFFRACTION GRATINGS FOR SIGNAL PROCESSING AND**
2 **OPTICAL CONTROL APPLICATIONS**

3

4 **Field of the Invention:**

5 The present invention relates to spectral filtering, optical communications, optical
6 multiplexing, optical code-division multiple access, and optical code generation and
7 detection.

8

9 **Summary of the Present Invention:**

10 The present invention provides a structure (i.e. a diffractive grating of unique design)
11 which performs a programmed complex-valued, spectral filtering function on an input optical
12 signal. The gratings fabricated in accordance with the present invention are composite
13 gratings in the sense that they consist of a plurality of subgratings. Subgratings may be either
14 physically distinct or exist only in the sense of a Fourier decomposition of a complex spatial
15 profile. Each subgrating controls the diffraction of a specific optical subbandwidth of light
16 from an operative input direction to an operative output direction. The set of subgratings
17 comprising the composite grating collectively control the diffraction of an operative
18 bandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output direction. Each
19 subgrating imparts a controllable amplitude and phase change onto the specific subbandwidth
20 of light whose diffraction it controls within the overall operative bandwidth. Composite
21 gratings according to the present invention are programmed through their construction or
22 through their dynamic modification to provide desired spectral filtering functions. In the
23 programming process, the physical parameters of the subgratings, such as spatial phase,
24 amplitude, spatial period, and so on are configured and set so that each subgrating provides
25 the desired amplitude and phase change to the subbandwidth whose diffraction it controls.

26 While the composite gratings according to the present invention can be employed for general

1 spectral filtering applications, they hold especially attractive potential in the area of optical
2 waveform processing, generation, and detection. It is understood that optical waveforms can
3 be coded so as to represent information and therefore the present invention applies to optical
4 data processing, generation, and detection.

5 Composite gratings according to the present invention have numerous specific
6 embodiments and settings. Composite gratings according to the present invention can be
7 implemented as volume, surface, or waveguide gratings and constructed using frequency-
8 selective active materials such as europium-doped yttrium oxide. They can be implemented
9 in the same forms using active materials having no intrinsic frequency selectivity such as
10 glass or lithium niobate. The key design element of the present composite grating invention
11 is the use of subgratings, having either Fourier or physical definition, to control the
12 diffraction of subbandwidths of light from an operative input to an operative output direction.
13 Control here means that the structural properties of a subgrating determine the phase and
14 amplitude factors that relate the output and input optical fields within the subbandwidth
15 assigned to the subgrating. Typically the subgratings comprising a composite grating control
16 subbandwidths that are substantially non-overlapping although absence of subbandwidth
17 overlap is not necessary. It is necessary that the subbandwidths collectively controlled by the
18 subgratings must span the full operative bandwidth of the composite grating.

19 Composite gratings according to the present invention are fundamentally different
20 from grating devices known in the art. Known gratings accept multicolored light incident
21 along a certain input direction and disperse it so that each color emerges along a path that is
22 angularly separated from the paths of other incident colors. Composite gratings according to
23 the present invention accept multicolored light incident along a certain input direction and
24 diffract a portion of each color into the operative output direction while simultaneously
25 modifying the relative amplitudes and phases of the various constituent colors.

1 Composite grating devices after the present invention can be used, for example, in
2 Optical Code-Division Multiple Access (OCDMA) data links. In this application, the
3 composite grating devices are used to code optical signals within multiple communications
4 channels with channel-specific time codes and then differentially detect channels based on
5 their impressed time code. The ability to impress channel specific time-codes and then
6 differentially detect on the basis of time-code allows for the multiplexing of multiple time-
7 code differentiated optical communication channels on a single transport means. The
8 composite surface gratings of the present invention can be utilized in any application area
9 wherein the ability to effect spectral filtering is utilized, such as temporal pattern recognition,
10 spectral equalization, optical encryption and decryption, and dispersion compensation.

11

12 Brief Description of the Figures:

13 Figure 1 is a diagram of the interaction of a bichromatic incident radiation field with
14 a composite surface grating composed of two subgratings, causing the generation of output
15 diffracted beams.

16 Figures 2A and 2B are depictions of the functioning of a composite diffraction
17 grating in accordance with the present invention applied to temporal waveform recognition.
18 The composite grating depicted is programmed through construction or dynamically to
19 generate optical signals propagating along an operative output direction and having a
20 recognition temporal waveform in response to optical signals incident on the grating along
21 the operative input direction and possessing an specific address temporal waveform. The
22 address and recognition waveforms are different and the recognition waveform is only
23 generated in response to those input optical signals bearing the address temporal waveform.

24 In Figure 2A, an optical signal whose temporal waveform is substantially similar to the
25 address temporal waveform of the composite grating impinges on the grating along the
26 operative input direction causing the generation of an optical signal propagating along the

1 operative output direction and carrying the recognition temporal waveform. In Figure 2B, an
2 optical signal whose temporal waveform is substantially different from the address temporal
3 waveform programmed into the composite grating impinges on the grating causing the
4 generation of an output signal whose temporal waveform differs substantially from the
5 recognition waveform.

6

7 **Description of Preferred Embodiments**

8 By way of introduction, consider the transmission grating of width l shown
9 schematically in Figure 1. The grating is assumed to be translationally invariant along y ,
10 aligned with its surface normal coincident with z , and illuminated along a direction $k_i \perp y$ by
11 a plane wave optical beam comprised of two wavelength components, $\lambda_i = c/v_i$ ($i=1,2$). We
12 assume further that the grating has a surface profile, which characterizes its absorptive or
13 phase response, comprised of a linear sum of two sinusoidal subgratings of wavelength Λ_j ,
14 ($j=1,2$). The interaction of the bichromatic input beam with the two subgratings will in
15 general create four output beams for each primary order of the grating. For specificity only,
16 we assume that first order diffraction is depicted. The output directions are labeled k_j
17 corresponding to the interaction between the i th optical component with the j th subgrating. If
18 $\lambda_i/\Lambda_1 = \lambda_j/\Lambda_2$, then, as shown in Figure 1, two of the output beams will be superimposed and
19 comprise the operative output beam. The direction followed by the superimposed output
20 beams is referred to as the operative output direction. Light propagating along the operative
21 output direction can be isolated from light diffracted in other directions by suitable spatial
22 filtering. The light beams and grating described here have been given a variety of attributes
23 for purposes of exposition. The assignment of those attributes is not meant to be limiting in
24 any fashion to the present invention. The attributes assigned for exposition purposes include:
25 plane wave optical beam character, transmissive grating geometry, translational invariance

1 along y , planar grating geometry, surface-plane grating location, sinusoidal subgrating
2 character, and operation in the first diffractive order.

3 Generalizing the scenario of Figure 1 to N input frequencies and N subgratings, one
4 may obtain an operative output beam having contributions from all input wavelengths
5 wherein the contribution from each input wavelength is controlled by a specific subgrating.
6 According to the present invention, by controlling the amplitudes and relative phases of the
7 subgratings, one controls the amplitudes and phases of the optical spectral components in the
8 operative output beam. In other words, the composite grating device constitutes a complex
9 spectral filter with specific transfer function for a chosen operative input direction and a
10 specific operative output direction.

11 Expressing these ideas more quantitatively, we assume that a general input beam
12 may be expressed as a sum over N , discrete spectral components as

$$13 E_{in}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} E_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} E_{i0} \exp\{2\pi i \nu_i [t - \mathbf{k}_{in} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) / c]\} + c.c. \quad [1]$$

14 where E_{i0} is complex and gives the phase and amplitude of the field component at frequency
15 ν_i , \mathbf{r}_0 is a fixed reference position which we take to be the center of the grating, and \mathbf{k}_{in}
16 denotes the input beam's propagation direction. Optical signals carrying arbitrary temporal
17 waveforms can be expanded as in Equation 1. In general, the spacing between frequency
18 components must be comparable to or less than the inverse waveform duration and the
19 expansion must encompass enough spectral components to cover the spectral range occupied
20 by the optical signal. We assume that the grating is ruled with N_s multiple sinusoidal
21 transmission subgratings whose summed amplitude transmission function is given by

$$22 T(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} a_j [1 + \sin(2\pi \mathbf{K}_j \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) + \xi_j)] \quad [2]$$

23 where a_j is real, \mathbf{K}_j ($= \mathbf{x}/\Lambda_j$) is the wavevector of the j th subgrating, \mathbf{x} is a unit directional
24 vector along the x -coordinate direction, Λ_j is the spatial period of the j th subgrating, and ξ_j is

1 the spatial phase of the j th subgrating at r_0 . The spatial phases of the subgratings, ξ_j , are of
 2 critical importance in the present invention for they provide control over the optical phases of
 3 the diffracted spectral components. The assumption that a_j is real, i.e. that the subgratings
 4 are amplitude only subgratings has been made for simplicity of illustration and is not meant
 5 to be limiting of the current invention. Amplitude or phase subgratings of quite general
 6 character, including a spatial dependence of a_j ($a_j = a_j(r)$), can be substituted without
 7 departing from the spirit of the present invention.

8 Invoking the Fraunhofer and thin grating limits, the diffracted output field resulting
 9 from the interaction of the i th input spectral component with the j th subgrating can be written
 10 as

$$11 E_{out}^j(r, t) = H_{ij} E_{i0} \exp \left\{ 2\pi \left[v_i (t - k_{ij} \cdot r/c) + \eta(r_0) \right] \right\} \quad [3]$$

12 where

$$13 H_{ij} = \left(\frac{ma_j}{2i} \right) \exp(im\xi_j) \quad [4]$$

14 and $m = \pm 1$ depending on whether the subgrating is operated in the positive or negative first
 15 diffraction order. Note that in Figure 1, only the positive first diffraction order is depicted.
 16 k_{ij} is the output direction and $\eta(r_0) = (v_i k_{in}/c - mK_j) \cdot r_0$ is an origin-dependent phase factor
 17 conveniently eliminated by choosing $r_0 = 0$. The diffraction integral leading to Equation 3
 18 provides the usual constraint on input and output directions, k_{in} and k_{ij} , respectively, i.e.

$$19 \sin \theta_{in} - \sin \phi_{ij} = \frac{m\lambda_i}{\Lambda_j} \quad [5]$$

20 where θ_{in} is the chosen operative input angle and ϕ_{ij} is the output angle the i th wavelength
 21 component is diffracted by the j th subgrating, as shown in Figure 1. The assumptions of thin
 22 gratings and first order Fraunhofer diffraction are made herein for simplified illustration are
 23 not meant to be limiting in any fashion to the present invention.

1 We now consider the special case in which $N_x = N_y = N$ and λ/λ_i has the same
 2 constant value for all $i = j$. This second assumption guarantees that all diffracted beams
 3 having $i=j$ emerge along a common output direction, i. e. $\phi_{ii} = \phi_{out}$ ($i=j = 1, \dots, N$). The angle
 4 ϕ_{out} is the operative output angle. The propagation vector corresponding to the operative
 5 output direction is designated k_{out} . The signal propagating in the operative output direction
 6 can be written as

$$7 E_{out}^{\phi_{out}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N H_{ii} E_{i0} \exp\{2\pi i[\nu_i(t - k_{out} \cdot \mathbf{r} / c)]\} \quad [6]$$

8 It will be noticed that $E_{out}^{\phi_{out}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ will encompass the full spectrum of the input beam when
 9 none of the subgratings have vanishing amplitude. By assumption each spectral component
 10 has been provided a subgrating configured to diffract a portion of the spectral component
 11 into the operative output direction. Each spectral component in the operative output beam is
 12 multiplied by a factor H_{ii} whose phase and amplitude is determined by the spatial phase and
 13 amplitude of the i th subgrating. $E_{out}^{\phi_{out}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ thus represents a spectrally filtered version of the
 14 input beam. The filtering function is determined through programming of the composite
 15 grating during its production or dynamically during its operation. An arbitrary filtering
 16 function $H(\nu)$ may be applied in discretized form provided the discretization is sufficiently
 17 fine. Eq. 6 indicates that a discretized form of the transfer function is applied if H_{ii} is set
 18 equal to $H(\nu_i)$. Eq. 4 then specifies the necessary amplitude and spatial phase for the
 19 subgrating that maps the subbandwidth of light in the vicinity of ν_i from the operative input
 20 to operative output direction.

21 In a first preferred embodiment, a set of subgratings is written upon the surface of a
 22 substrate to form a composite grating. The subgratings are operative to diffract incident
 23 radiation from a chosen operative input direction into a chosen operative output direction. In
 24 the process of mapping the optical signal impinging on the composite grating along the

1 operative input direction into the operative output direction, the composite grating imparts a
2 programmed spectral filtering function. In this embodiment, the programmed spectral
3 filtering function acts to transform input pulses having a specific address temporal waveform
4 into output pulses having a specific recognition temporal waveform. The composite grating
5 in this instance effectively acts as a temporal waveform converter. This function can be
6 employed so as to be equivalent to temporal waveform detection. The detection of output
7 signals by electronic or other means preferentially sensitive to optical signals having
8 waveforms substantially the same as the recognition waveform allows a user to conclude that
9 input signals carried the address waveform. If the recognition temporal waveform is chosen
10 to be a temporally brief and powerful pulse, its differential detection becomes especially
11 convenient with devices known in the art.

12 Figures 2A and 2B show the operation of a composite surface grating used as a
13 temporal waveform converter/detector in accordance with the present invention. In Figures
14 2A and 2B, an optical signal comprised of spectral components within the operative
15 bandwidth of composite surface grating 102 and carrying optical waveform 100 impinges
16 upon composite surface grating 102 along input path 101, triggering the generation of an
17 optical signal carrying optical waveform 103 along output path 104. Input path 101 is
18 substantially similar to the designed operative input path of composite surface grating 102
19 and output path 104 is substantially similar to the designed operative output path of
20 composite grating 102. In Figure 2A, incident optical waveform 100A is substantially
21 similar to the programmed address temporal waveform of composite grating 102, and output
22 optical waveform 103A along operative output path 104 is substantially similar to the
23 programmed recognition temporal waveform of composite grating 102. In Figure 2B,
24 incident optical waveform 100B is substantially dissimilar to the programmed address
25 temporal waveform of complex grating 102, and the output optical waveform 103B along
26 operative output direction 104 is substantially dissimilar to the programmed recognition

1 temporal waveform of complex grating 102. It is to be noted that any input signal
2 propagating along 101 and containing spectral components within the operative bandwidth of
3 the composite grating will produce an output signal along the operative output direction.
4 However, the output signal will have the specific programmed recognition waveform only if
5 the input signal has the programmed address waveform.

6 The design of a composite surface grating in accordance with this embodiment of the
7 present invention is now considered. First specified are the address and recognition temporal
8 waveforms and their central frequencies. The entire bandwidth of the latter must fall within
9 the bandwidth of the former. A quantity of importance derivable from the waveforms
10 specified is the minimal spectral structure width of optical signals carrying the address or
11 recognition temporal waveforms. The Minimum Spectral Structure Width is the minimum
12 frequency distance over which the Fourier spectra of optical signals laden with either the
13 address or recognition waveform exhibit structure. Generally, the minimum spectral
14 structure width can be set equal to the inverse of the larger of the address or recognition
15 temporal waveform duration. The minimum spectral structure width is important because it
16 sets the maximal frequency bandwidth that can be controlled by individual subgratings
17 comprising the composite grating. This in turn means that subgratings must have a spectral
18 resolution as fine as or finer than the minimum spectral structure width. The bandwidth of
19 optical signals carrying the recognition waveform, $\delta\nu_{out}$, or address waveform, $\delta\nu_{in}$, are
20 derivable from the respective waveforms specified. The minimum spectral structure width
21 also represents the minimum spectral resolution needed to encode or program a spectral
22 transfer function of interest into a composite grating.

23 In regards to the composite grating, its operative input and output directions must be
24 specified. The operative input and output angles, and therefore subgrating periodicities, are
25 chosen according to convenience according to equation 5 subject to substrate and production
26 constraints that limit the range of subgrating periods that can be conveniently implemented.

1 Choice of operative angles is also influenced by the need to make the spectral resolution of
 2 the subgratings finer than the minimal spectral structure width. The grating spectral
 3 resolution is given by

$$4 \quad \delta\nu_g \equiv \frac{c}{\ell(\sin\theta_{in} - \sin\phi_{out})} \quad [7]$$

5 where c is the speed of light in the environment of the composite grating and ℓ is the
 6 subgrating width. For a fixed grating width, choice of operative angles providing the
 7 maximal angular change from input to output provides maximal spectral resolution.
 8 Providing for the operative output direction to be essentially anti-parallel to the operative
 9 input direction maximizes grating spectral resolution for fixed grating width.

10 The quantity $1/\delta\nu_g$, the grating processing time, is important as it provides an upper
 11 limit on the temporal length of the waveforms that can be distinguished with complete
 12 uniqueness. If a signal having duration longer than $1/\delta\nu_g$ is made incident on a composite
 13 grating, the instantaneous output signal will derive from a subduration of the input signal of
 14 approximate length $1/\delta\nu_g$.

15 The minimal number of required subgratings required, $N_{g,min}$, is equal to the
 16 bandwidth of the desired recognition temporal waveform divided by the minimal spectral
 17 structure width.

18 To construct the composite grating, it is necessary to determine its detailed surface
 19 structure. Decomposition of this structure into the sum of subgratings is the means used to
 20 determine the grating structure. Subgratings may exist as discrete physical entities as for
 21 example in a construction where the composite grating consists of multiple layers.
 22 Alternatively, subgratings may exist in the sense of elements of a Fourier decomposition of a
 23 single complex grating profile. In the latter case, the physical reality of subgratings may be
 24 highlighted by fabrication methods that build a complex grating structure through addition of

1 multiple elements each of which imparts the functionality of a subgrating. For example, in
 2 holographic grating fabrication, a composite grating may be fabricated through multiple
 3 exposure wherein each exposure creates a subgrating with specific period, amplitude, and
 4 spatial phase. Through appropriate control of exposure parameters the subgrating parameters
 5 can be programmed so as to map a specific subbandwidth of light from the operative input
 6 direction to the operative output direction.

7 Now then, supposing that a composite grating is desired that detects an address
 8 temporal waveform $E_a(t)$ having Fourier spectrum $E_a(v)$. It is desired that the composite
 9 grating be operative to generate a short, powerful, output waveform (the recognition
 10 waveform) in the event that input optical signals carry the address temporal waveform. One
 11 spectral filtering function that will provide this operation is $H(v) = \alpha E_a^*(v)$, where α is a
 12 constant and $E_a^*(v)$ is the complex conjugate of $E_a(v)$. The spectral filtering function $H(v)$ is
 13 defined through $E_{out}(v) = H(v)E_{in}(v)$, where $E_{in}(v)$ and $E_{out}(v)$ are the spectra of optical signals
 14 incident along the operative input direction and emergent along the operative output
 15 direction, respectively.

16 To determine the subgrating parameters that provide a composite grating transfer
 17 function equal to $\alpha E_a^*(v)$, we spectrally decompose the input and address temporal
 18 waveforms in a discrete Fourier sum as in Eq. 1. The expansion coefficients for the input and
 19 address waveforms E_{i0} and E_{a0}^* respectively. Each complex expansion coefficient E_{i0}^A can
 20 be written as the product of a real amplitude and a complex phase factor, $E_{i0}^A = a_i^A \exp(i\xi_i)$.
 21 A field propagating in direction \mathbf{k}_{out} that has been subjected to a filtering function
 22 $H(v) = \alpha E_a^*(v)$ should have the form

$$23 E_{corr}(\mathbf{r}, t) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} E_{i0} E_{i0}^A \exp\{2\pi i v_i (t - \mathbf{k}_{out} \cdot \mathbf{r} / c)\}. \quad [8]$$

24 This expression is identical to the expression for $E_{out}^A(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in Equation 6 if

1 $a_j = a_i^4$ and $\xi_j = -\xi_i$ (for all $i = j = 1, \dots, N_n$) . [9]

2 Note that Eq. 9 is a special case of the subgrating definition given above which has the form

3 $H_{ii} = H(\nu_i)$, [10]

4 where in the present case $H(\nu) = \alpha E_A(\nu)$, H_{ii} refers to a specific subgrating as noted in relation
5 to Eq. 4, and i denotes the i^{th} frequency subbandwidth. If the subgratings are constructed to
6 satisfy the conditions of Equation 9 or 10, the signals emerging from the composite grating
7 along the operative output direction, k_{out} , will experience the filtering function $H(\nu) = \alpha E_A(\nu)$.

8 In the time domain, the output signal will have a temporal waveform representing the cross
9 correlation of the input waveform with the address waveform. As is known in the art, cross-
10 correlation is an effective means of recognizing the similarity between waveforms. The
11 cross-correlation consists primarily of a powerful, short pulse when the input and address
12 waveforms ride on the same carrier frequency and are essentially identical.

13 The direct relationship between the amplitudes and phases of the subgratings
14 comprising a composite grating and those of the Fourier components of the address
15 waveform shown in Equation 9 demonstrates that the spatial profile of a composite grating
16 programmed to recognize an address waveform is very simply related to the address
17 waveform itself. The composite grating can be viewed as a spatial carrier wave having an
18 envelope function. Examination of the equations above reveals that the spatial waveform of
19 the composite grating is given by an appropriately scaled Fourier transform of the desired
20 spectral filtering function.

21 Consider a composite grating designed as described above to create a short
22 recognition waveform propagating along the operative output direction in response to a
23 specific address temporal waveform incident along the operative input direction. If a short
24 pulse is directed onto the composite grating anti-parallel to the operative output direction, an
25 optical signal carrying the time-reversed address temporal waveform will emerge anti-

1 parallel to the operative input direction. It is assumed in this paragraph that the bandwidth of
2 the cited short pulse spans the bandwidth of the optical signals carrying the address
3 waveform that the composite grating is designed to detect.

4 Multiple composite gratings can be superposed upon the same substrate to create a
5 device capable of operating upon multiple input waveforms. The various composite gratings
6 may have a common operative input direction and differing operative output directions
7 wherein each composite grating and hence each operative output direction provides a
8 different spectral filtering function. Conversely, the composite gratings may have a
9 common, operative, output direction and differing, operative, input directions wherein each
10 input direction produces output signals having experienced a different spectral filtering
11 function. It is also possible that superimposed composite gratings each have unique
12 operative input and output directions.

13 In a second preferred embodiment, a composite grating is configured so that its
14 operative input and output directions lie anti-parallel along the line containing the subgrating
15 spatial wavevector. In a further development of said second preferred embodiment, the
16 composite grating is constructed to specifically accept and process input optical signals
17 carrying a brief temporal waveform. In a separate further development of said second
18 preferred embodiment, the composite grating is specifically programmed so as to produce
19 output optical signals carrying a temporally brief recognition temporal waveform in response
20 to input pulses carrying a specific address temporal waveform. In a fourth further
21 development of said second preferred embodiment, said composite grating is embedded
22 within the volume of a substrate of active material. In a fifth further development of said
23 second preferred embodiment, said substrate consists of an optical waveguide which might
24 be an optical fiber. In a sixth further development of said second preferred embodiment, said
25 subgratings possess a position dependent amplitude and phase, leading to a position
26 dependent reflectivity.

1 According to Equation 7, the maximal processing time of a composite grating will be
2 achieved for $\theta_{in} = \pi/2$ and $\phi_{out} = -\pi/2$, i.e., the input direction is parallel to the subgrating
3 wavevectors and the output direction is counter-propagating to the input direction. In this
4 limit, the grating bandwidth becomes $\delta\nu_g = c/2\ell$. Maximization of the grating processing
5 time or equivalently maximization of the grating spectral resolution is important as it enables
6 minimization of the grating physical length needed for spectral transfer functions having a
7 given minimum spectral structure width. The processing time of a composite grating having
8 anti-parallel operative input and output directions (or any other geometry) can be increased if
9 the grating is embedded within a substrate of refractive index n . In this case, the grating
10 resolution bandwidth becomes $\delta\nu_g = c/2n\ell$, where here c is the speed of light in vacuum.
11 For example, using a glass substrate with a refractive index of 1.5 leads to a fifty percent
12 increase in the grating processing time for a fixed size or a concomitant reduction in
13 grating size for a fixed processing time. Note that in this geometry ($\theta_{in}=\pi/2$), a given
14 subgrating will only diffract light whose wavelength is less than or equal to the design
15 wavelength for that subgrating.

16 Consider now the design of a composite grating wherein the operative input and
17 output directions are anti-parallel and lie along the line defined by the subgrating
18 wavevectors. We begin by specifying the spectral filtering function to be performed. As the
19 input and output angles are chosen to be $\pi/2$ and $-\pi/2$ respectively, the spatial wavelength of
20 each subgrating is equal, according to the diffraction condition, to $\frac{1}{2}$ the wavelength of the
21 subbandwidth that the particular subgrating is designed to diffract. If the light interacts with
22 the grating while propagating within a material, it is the wavelength of light in the material
23 that is referred to above. As the angles are fixed, the physical length, ℓ , of the grating must
24 be chosen to ensure that the spectral resolution of the composite grating is sufficient to
25 resolve the minimum spectral structure width characteristic of the desired spectral transfer

1 function. Note that if the subgratings are embedded in a medium of index n , that it is the
2 optical path length, $n\ell$, that determines the grating resolution rather than the physical length,
3 ℓ .

4 Composite gratings wherein the operative input and output directions are anti-
5 parallel and lie along the line containing the subgrating spatial wavevectors can be
6 constructed within optical waveguides and optical fibers. In these cases, a subgrating
7 typically comprises a periodic modulation of the index of refraction of the guided wave
8 region, the cladding region, or both. The subgratings must be configured with spatial phases
9 and amplitudes as needed to effect a desired spectral transfer function. In waveguide
10 implementations of composite gratings that are designed to provide high efficiency
11 diffraction, the amplitude of subgratings can be tapered to be relatively smaller at the input
12 end of the composite grating and relatively larger at the opposite end. The taper serves to
13 equalize the light backscattered as the input light is attenuated.

14 While we have repeatedly referred to the electromagnetic radiation incident on and
15 diffracted from composite gratings as light, it is to be understood that composite gratings can
16 be constructed operative to accept electromagnetic radiation from within any segment of the
17 electromagnetic spectrum from radio, to microwave, to infrared, to visible, to ultraviolet, and
18 beyond.

19 While the invention has been described with respect to preferred embodiments
20 thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in format and
21 detail may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

22

1 We claim:

2 1. A composite diffraction grating comprising an active material and an ordered assemblage
3 of two or more periodic subgratings supported by said active material, wherein each
4 subgrating controls the diffraction of a designed subbandwidth of radiation from a
5 designed input direction to a designed output direction, said input directions and said
6 output directions common to all subgratings, the ratio of the wavelength of a given
7 subgrating to the wavelength of the subbandwidth of radiation controlled by said
8 subgrating constant for all subgratings, and the amplitude and phase of the diffracted
9 subbandwidth of radiation controlled by the amplitude and phase of the subgrating, such
10 that the superposition of the outgoing waves results in the generation of an outgoing
11 wave in the designed output direction with a designed output temporal waveform
12 whenever the incident radiation is substantially similar to a designed input temporal
13 waveform along the designed input direction.

14 2. A composite grating device comprising an active material and an ordered assemblage of
15 two or more sets of two or more periodic subgratings per set supported by said active
16 material, wherein each subgrating controls the diffraction of a subbandwidth of radiation
17 from a designed input direction to a designed output direction, said input directions and
18 said output directions common to all subgratings within a given set, the ratio of the
19 wavelength of a given subgrating to the wavelength of the subbandwidth of radiation
20 controlled by said subgrating constant for all subgratings within a given set, the ratio of
21 the wavelength of a given subgrating to the wavelength of the subbandwidth of radiation
22 controlled by said subgrating in a first set not equal to the ratio of the wavelength of a
23 given subgrating to the wavelength of the subbandwidth of radiation controlled by said
24 subgrating in a second set, and the amplitude and phase of the diffracted subbandwidth of
25 radiation controlled by the amplitude and phase of the subgrating, such that the
26 superposition of the outgoing waves results in the generation of an outgoing wave in the

- 1 designed output direction for a given set with a designed output temporal waveform for
- 2 said given set whenever the incident radiation is substantially similar to a designed input
- 3 temporal waveform for said given set along the designed input direction for said given
- 4 set.
- 5 3. An optical encoder, comprising the composite grating of claim 1, wherein the input
- 6 temporal waveform comprises a substantially short pulse with a temporal duration on the
- 7 order of the inverse bandwidth of said input temporal waveform and the output temporal
- 8 waveform comprises a temporally structured waveform with a temporal duration
- 9 substantially larger than the inverse bandwidth of said output temporal waveform.
- 10 4. An optical decoder comprising the composite grating of claim 1, wherein the input
- 11 temporal waveform comprises a temporally structured waveform with a temporal
- 12 duration substantially larger than the inverse bandwidth of said input temporal waveform
- 13 and the output temporal waveform comprising a substantially brief pulse with a temporal
- 14 duration on the order of the inverse bandwidth of said output temporal waveform.
- 15 5. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the input direction is parallel to the
- 16 wavevectors of the subgratings.
- 17 6. The composite diffraction grating of claim 5, wherein the output direction is
- 18 counterpropagating to the input direction.
- 19 7. The composite diffraction grating of claim 5, wherein the active material comprises an
- 20 optical waveguide.
- 21 8. The composite diffraction grating of claim 7, wherein the active material comprises an
- 22 optical fiber.
- 23 9. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the amplitude of a given
- 24 subgrating depends upon the spatial position within the active material.
- 25 10. The composite diffraction grating of claim 9, wherein the active material comprises an
- 26 optical waveguide.

- 1 11. The composite diffraction grating of claim 10, wherein the optical waveguide comprises
- 2 an optical fiber.
- 3 12. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings reflect
- 4 the subbandwidths of radiation controlled by said subgratings into the designed output
- 5 direction.
- 6 13. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings transmit
- 7 the subbandwidths of radiation controlled by said subgratings into the designed output
- 8 direction.
- 9 14. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings have a
- 10 sinusoidal spatial profile.
- 11 15. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings have a
- 12 nonsinusoidal spatial profile.
- 13 16. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings are
- 14 characterized by a spatial profile with periodic variations in the transmission or reflection
- 15 amplitude, i.e. amplitude subgratings.
- 16 17. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings are
- 17 characterized by a spatial profile with periodic variations in the transmission or reflection
- 18 phase, i.e. phase subgratings.
- 19 18. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings are
- 20 characterized by a spatial profile with periodic variations in the transmission or reflection
- 21 amplitude and phase, i.e. complex subgratings.
- 22 19. The diffraction grating device of claim 2, wherein each set of subgratings is
- 23 distinguished by the direction of the subgrating wave vectors.
- 24 20. The composite diffraction grating of claim 1, wherein the operative subgratings exist in
- 25 the sense of elements of a Fourier decomposition of a single complex grating profile.

- 1 21. The composite diffraction grating of claim 20, wherein the operative subgratings exist in
- 2 the sense of elements of a Fourier decomposition of a single spatial carrier wave
- 3 modified by a designed spatial modulation function.
- 4 22. An optical system for generating an output optical waveform from an input optical
- 5 waveform having a carrier frequency, by directing said input optical waveform through a
- 6 passive periodic structure, said passive periodic structure characterized by being a
- 7 complex set of subgratings, each of which has a specific wavelength, direction,
- 8 amplitude and phase.
- 9 23. A passive periodic structure which performs a spectral filtering function on an input
- 10 optical field, said structure characterized by one or more sets of subgratings, each set of
- 11 subgratings controlling the diffraction of incident radiation according to the input
- 12 temporal waveform and the input direction of the incident radiation, whereby if the input
- 13 temporal waveform and the input direction match the designed input temporal waveform
- 14 and designated input direction of the set of subgratings, the set of subgratings will trigger
- 15 the creation of a designated output temporal waveform along a designated output
- 16 direction.
- 17 24. A passive periodic structure which performs a spectral filtering function on an input
- 18 optical field to produce output radiation, said structure characterized by one or more sets
- 19 of subgratings, the amplitude and phase of the outgoing radiation at the designated
- 20 frequency being controlled by the amplitude and phase of the subgratings.
- 21 25. An optical system which has an input optical beam of N input wavelengths, characterized
- 22 by a passive periodic structure comprising N sub-gratings which produce a common
- 23 output beam having contributions from all input wavelengths wherein the contribution
- 24 from each input wavelength is controlled by a specific subgrating.

1 The present invention provides a composite grating structure that performs a
2 programmed complex-valued, spectral filtering function on an input optical signal. The
3 gratings fabricated in accordance with the present invention are composite gratings
4 consisting of a plurality of subgratings. Each subgrating controls the diffraction of a specific
5 optical subbandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output
6 direction imparting a controllable amplitude and phase change onto the specific
7 subbandwidth of light whose diffraction it controls within the overall operative bandwidth.
8 The set of subgratings comprising the composite grating collectively control the diffraction
9 of an operative bandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output
10 direction. Each composite grating according to the present invention is programmed through
11 their construction or through their dynamic modification to provide desired spectral filtering
12 functions. While the composite gratings according to the present invention can be employed
13 for general spectral filtering applications, they hold especially attractive potential in the area
14 of optical waveform processing, generation, and detection.




Figure 1

Figure 2A





Figure 2B



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/00425

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : GO2B 6/34; GO2B 27/46

US CL : 385/37; 372/102; 250/237G; 359/10, 11, 559, 566, 569

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 385/37; 372/102; 250/237G, 231.13; 359/10, 11, 559, 566, 569

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
none

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

none

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,040,188 A (LANG ET AL) 13 August 1991 (13/08/91), see entire document, especially column 1, lines 1-68.	1-2, 5-21
A		3, 4
X	US 5,315,423 A (HONG) 24 May 1994 (24/05/94), see entire document.	22-23
X	US 5,204,524 A (ICHIKAWA ET AL) 20 April 1993 (20/04/93), see lines 3-54 of column 4.	24
X	US 4,387,955 A (LUDMAN ET AL) 14 June 1983 (14/06/83), see entire document, especially column 7, lines 4-35	25

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T*	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A*	document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 APRIL 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

06 MAY 1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

HEMANG SANGHAVI

Telephone No. (703) 305-3484




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G02B 6/34, 27/46		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/35523
			(43) International Publication Date: 15 July 1999 (15.07.99)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/00425	(81) Designated States: CA, JP, KR, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(22) International Filing Date: 7 January 1999 (07.01.99)	
(30) Priority Data: 60/070,684 7 January 1998 (07.01.98) US	Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(71) Applicant: TEMPLEX TECHNOLOGY INC. [US/US]; 400 East Second Avenue, Eugene, OR 97401 (US).	
(72) Inventors: BABBITT, William, R.; 6391 Buffaloberry Lane, Bozeman, MT 59718 (US). MOSSBERG, Thomas, W.; 584 Lynbrook Drive, Eugene, OR 97404 (US).	
(74) Agent: JONES, Michael, D.; Klarquist, Sparkman, Campbell, Leigh & Whinston, LLP, One World Trade Center, Suite 1600, 121 S.W. Salmon Street, Portland, OR 97204 (US).	

(54) Title: COMPOSITE DIFFRACTION GRATINGS FOR SIGNAL PROCESSING AND OPTICAL CONTROL APPLICATIONS



(57) Abstract

A composite grating structure is disclosed that performs a programmed complex-valued, spectral filtering function on an input optical signal. The grating consists of a plurality of subgratings, each controlling the diffraction of a specific optical subbandwidth of light from an operative input direction to an operative output direction imparting a controllable amplitude and phase change onto the corresponding subbandwidth of light. The set of subgratings comprising the composite grating collectively controls the diffraction of an operative output direction. Each composite grating is programmed through its construction or is dynamically modified to provide a desired spectral filtering function. The composite gratings can be employed for general spectral filtering application but are especially attractive for optical waveform processing, generation, and detection.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
RJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						